

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable Cullen B. Vance County Attorney Jackson County Edna. Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-1973
Re: Under the facts submitted would a county clerk, upon volunteering of being inducted into the military service, be authorized to have his wife appointed deputy county clerk to serve in his absence, without violating the provisions of the Nepotism Law?

Your letter of recent date requesting the opinion of this department on the above stated question reads as follows:

will you kindly give me an epinion as to whether or not a County Clerk, upon either veluntering or being inducted into military service, would be authorized to have his wife appointed Deputy County Clerk to serve in his absence, without violating the provisions of the Nepotism law, his wife, if appointed, to be paid by the County Clerk out of compensation now derived from the office and with no additional expense to the County.

"In other words, if an appointment of this nature is permissible without violating the provisions of the Repotism law, the Clerk would continue to draw his own salary and compensation and would pay his wife out of that commensation.

Articles 432-438, Vernon's Annotated Benal Code are the statutes pertaining to nepotism in this State. For the purposes of this opinion we do not deem it necessary to quote these Articles. Honorable Cullen B. Vance, Page 2

This department has heretofore ruled on a question almost identical with the one presented in your inquiry and on numerous other questions similar to your question.

On July 21, 1927, this department rendered an opinion written by Honorable H. Grady Chandler, Assistant Attorney General, addressed to Mr. Leo Kalloy, County Clerk, Paint Rock, Teras. holding that a county clerk cannot appoint his wife as de puty.

On April 26, 1932, this department rendered an opinion written by Romerable Sectt Gaines, Assistant Attorney General, addressed to Mr. Wardlow Lane, County Attorney, Center, Texas, holding that a sheriff may not appoint his son as a deputy sheriff though the son makes no charge for his services and is compensated in no manner, directly or indirectly, out of or from public funds or fees of office of any kind or character whatsoever.

On March 2, 1932, this department held in an opinion written by Honorable Bruce W. Bryant, First Assistant Attorney General of Texas, addressed to Honorable Joe P. Flack, County Attorney, Memard, Texas, that it is not a violation of the Repotism Law, (Articles 432 and 433, Penal Code of Texas) for the wife of the sheriff and tax collector to do clerical work in his office without compensation and without being appointed a deputy. However, she may not sign the tax and other receipts in the same of her husband.

This department held in opinion No. 0-31 that the appointment by the county and district clerk of Motley County of his wife as a deputy county and district clerk of said county, strictly without remuneration, either directly or indirectly, would be a violation of the Mepotism Statute, as set out in Article 432 of the Penal Gode.

Therefore, you are respectfully advised that the County Clark of Jackson County cannot appoint his wife as deputy county clerk.

Trusting the foregoing fully enswers your inquiry,

Yours very stuly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By Modell which

AFPROVEDNOV 20, 1942

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Ardell Williams

**Assistant** 

AWIMD